Bad habits about homology
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What does the term 'homology' mean?

The precise meaning of this term is 'having a common evolutionary origin'. However, it is often wrongly used instead of 'similarity'. This misleading use is frequent in articles describing a comparison of protein or nucleic acid sequences. This bad habit has been discussed over the years, and probably the maximum peak of discussion was reached in 1987 when an interesting debate started by a letter to the Editor of Cell [1] and commentaries in other prestigious journals [2–4] outlined the need for a careful use of the term homology in the literature, stating that homology is a concept of quality and cannot be 'quantified' [2].

Example of a family of 'homologous' (but not necessarily 'similar') objects...

Is this term now correctly used, 20 years and more after that debate? We searched the PubMed archive for articles published in 2007 that have the keyword 'homology' in their abstract or title, by excluding those cases in which 'homology' is part of a gene or protein name (e.g. Bcl-2 homology domain) or indicates a procedure (e.g. homology modelling). With the same criteria, we performed an analogous search in the abstracts of articles published in 1986, one year before the debate. Results are shown on the right.

In the table we report the 20 journals in which the abstracts containing 'homology' appeared more frequently in 1986. They account for 67% of the analyzed abstracts of that year. The same journals in 2007 represented only 17% of the abstracts containing 'homology'. The percentage of errors is decreasing in almost all of them, whereas in the other journals the percentage of the errors in 1986 and 2007 is unchanged.

Our analysis indicates that the lessons of the 1987 debate have not been fully acknowledged or applied: despite a small improvement in the usage of the term after 20 years, it seems that this particular bad habit dies hard. To improve the quality of publications, journals should add in their guidelines some specific suggestions for the correct use of the terms and also ensure that such errors do not get past the copyeditors. The researchers should always read and learn from the past lessons and get themselves used to checking the formal correctness of their language before submitting an article. The results of this analysis have been published [5] with the aim of awaken the research community on this subject.
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